



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 3011 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

CONT P No. 3011 of 2025 and

Sub.Appl.No.104 of 2026

A.Ravi,
S/o. Late Adhimoolam,
D.2 Police Quarters,
Narayanan Nagar,
Uthangarai Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Mrs. Uma,
The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Salem Range,
Salem.

..Contemnor(s)

PRAYER : Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Court Act, to punish the respondent for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the orders of this Hon'ble Court, dated 05.02.2025 in W.P.No. 3832 of 2025.

For Petitioner(s): M/s. K.N.PANDIAN, A.V.BALUSAMY,
J.MELWIN JABAZ

For Contemnor(s): MR.P.KUMERASAN,ADDL ADVOCATE
GENERAL ASST.by V.Manoharan Additional
Government Pleader



WEB COPY



ORDER

This Contempt Petition is filed to punish the respondent for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the order of this Court, dated 05.02.2025 in W.P.No. 3832 of 2025.

2. The order of this Court has been complied by considering the representation of the petitioner and by giving out an order on such consideration. In fact, a Writ Appeal has been preferred by the respondent challenging the order passed by this Court in W.A.No.172 of 2026, in which, the following observation has been made :

“17. If this being the nicety of the import of G.O(Ms.)No.81, dated 04.08.2022 and in order to give effect to the provisions that has been made under G.O(Ms.)No.81 effectively neither in favour of the employer nor in favour of the employee but only with a true letter and spirit that has been intended by the makers of the G.O, we deem it appropriate to give the following directions in this writ appeal:

a) That there shall be a direction to the appellant department to reconsider and review the suspension order that has been made against the respondent/writ petitioner on 02.10.2024 in the light of Clause 11(iii) of the G.O(Ms.)No.81 as interpreted by this Court in the present order and also the observation made herein above.

b) While reviewing the suspension order, the appellant/disciplinary authorities must bear in mind that



WEB COPY



even after one year, even till date, no investigation seems to have been completed by DVAC and no charge sheet has been filed against him and even in the disciplinary proceedings no charge memo has been filed so far. Therefore, these are all the conducive atmosphere in favour of the employee to seek for a positive consideration of review of the suspension order and therefore, to that extent, the review shall be made by the appellant department against the suspension order and suitable orders in the light of the discussions, which we have made in this order, shall be passed within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

c) In view of the aforesaid discussions, findings and directions, the order dated 05.02.2025 can only be considered as an order interpreting the import of G.O(Ms.)No.81, which is subject to the interpretation, which we have given in this order and therefore, no further coercive steps need to be taken by the respondent/writ petitioner against the appellant for allegedly having not been complied with the order of the Writ Court dated 05.02.2025 so far.”

3. In view of the above order, the Contempt Petition is liable to be closed and accordingly, this Contempt Petition is closed. Consequently, the Sub-Application filed to implead the proposed party cannot be considered and the same is dismissed.

11-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GSK



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 3011 of 2



DR.R.N.MANJULA, J.

GSK

To
Mrs. Uma,
The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Salem Range,
Salem.

**CONT P No. 3011 of 2025 and
Sub.Appl.No.104 of 2026**

11-02-2026