



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 14234 of 2026

Marimuthu S/o.Subramani,
Hi-Tech Park Apartment,
Palladam, Sukkampalayam,
Tiruppur.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by:

The Inspector of Police
Palladam All Women Police station,
Tiruppur.
(Crime No.7 of 2026)


..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending trial in Spl.S.C.No.77 of 2026 on the file of the Honble Sessions Judge, Mahalir Neethimandram,(Fast Track Mahila Court), Tiruppur.

For Petitioner(s):	M/S. P.Thinesh
For Respondent(s):	Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar, Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.03.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 10, 11(1) read with 12 of POCSO Act, 1985 and Section 87 of B.N.S. in Cr. No.7 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The *case of the prosecution* is that the petitioner has committed sexual assault on the victim girl, aged about 8 years old and hence the case.


WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has nothing to do with the commission of offences as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel would further submit that according to the prosecution, the occurrence took place a week back and the FIR has been registered belatedly and the petitioner has been remanded to judicial custody on 08.03.2026 and hence prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the allegations are serious in nature and therefore, he strongly objected the bail application.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate, it is seen that the FIR came to be registered on 07.03.2026, however, the petitioner has been remanded to judicial custody on 08.03.2026. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that according to the prosecution, the



occurrence took place a week back, however, the FIR has been registered belatedly. The learned Government Advocate submits that the allegations are

serious in nature not only against this victim, but there are other victims also.

Considering the seriousness of the allegations, this Court is of the firm view that it is not an appropriate stage to consider the bail application and therefore, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

05-06-2026

MJS

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Inspector of Police, Palladam All Women Police station, Tiruppur.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14234 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 14234 of 2026

05-06-2026