



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-06-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP Nos. 20988, 20990 & 20993 of 2026

WP No.20988 of 2026

J.Indira
S/o.B.N.Balasubramaniam
No.1/160 Brahmins Street
Berikai Village and Post
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District 635105.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Tahsildar
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District.

..Respondent(s)

WP No. 20990 of 2026

B.N.Sreedharamurthy
S/o.Late B.N.Najundaiah
No.1/164 Brahmins Street
Berikai Village and Post
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District 635105.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Tahsildar
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District.

..Respondent(s)

WP No. 20993 of 2026

S.Anand Reddy
S/o.Late Sathiyarayanareddy
No.1/101 Kalahasthipuram Village
Avalapalli Post
Hosur Taluk 635109



Krishnagiri District.

WP No. 20988 of 2



..Petitioner(s)

Vs

WEB COPY

1. The Tahsildar
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District.
2. The Zonal Deputy Tahsildar
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus,

Calling for the entire records of the respondent issued in the proceedings File No. 2025/0105/31/732529 dated 26.05.2026 passed by the respondent rejecting the patta application of the petitioner in No. 2025/0105/31/732529 and quash the same and directing the respondent to grant of sub-division patta in the petitioner favour for the property situated at Mahadevapuram, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District comprised in Survey No. 61/1A1A1 for an extent of 11.69 acres

Calling for the entire records of the respondent issued in the proceedings File No. 2025/0105/31/732625 dated 26.05.2026 passed by the respondent rejecting the patta application of the petitioner in No. 2025/0105/31/732625 and quash the same and directing the respondent to grant of sub-division patta in the petitioner favour for the property situated at Mahadevapuram, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District comprised in Survey No. 61/1A1A1 for an extent of 3.06 acres

Calling for the entire records of the respondent issued in the proceedings File No. 2026/0103/31/012067 dated 26.05.2026 passed by the 2nd respondent rejecting the patta application of the petitioner in No. 2026/0103/31/012067 and quash the same and directing the 1st respondent to



grant of sub-division patta in the petitioner favour for the property situated at Mahadevapuram, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District comprised in Survey No. 61/1A1A1 for an extent of 4 acres

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.Sellapandian

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.Guruprasad, Govt's Cl.

ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed against the impugned proceedings of the respondent dated 26.05.2026.

2. Mr.M.Guruprasad, learned Government's counsel, takes notice on behalf of the respondents in all the cases.

3. By consent of the parties, the main petitions are taken up for disposal in the stage of admission itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner made an application for the purpose of sub-division of subject property and issuance of separate Patta based on the settlement deed and sale deed. However, without considering the said representation in proper manner, the respondent had passed the impugned orders.



5. Further, he would contend that the impugned orders were passed by the respondents as a non-speaking order, without conducting any proper enquiry, which is nothing but a violation of principles of natural justice. Hence, this petition.

6. In reply, the learned Government's counsel appearing for the respondent had confirmed the submissions made by the petitioner and requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

7. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents and also perused the entire materials available on record.

8. In the case on hand, upon perusal, it is apparent that the impugned orders were passed as a non-speaking order without conducting any proper enquiry, which is a clear violation of principles of natural justice. In such case, there is no doubt that the said impugned orders are not sustainable in law and the same are liable to be set aside.

9. In view of the above, this Court passes the following order:

- i) The impugned orders dated 26.05.2026 are set aside and the matters are remanded back to the respondent for re-consideration



WEB COPY

ii) The respondent is directed to issue notice to all the concerned parties and conduct a proper enquiry and thereafter, pass detailed speaking orders with regard to the issuance of separate patta.

10. With the above directions, these writ petitions are disposed of. No cost

10-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nsa

To

1. The Tahsildar
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District.
2. The Zonal Deputy Tahsildar
Shoolagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 20988 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

nsa

WP Nos. 20988, 20990 & 20993 of 2026

10-06-2026