



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 29-05-2026**

CORAM

WEB COPY

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ**

**CRL OP No. 14000 of 2026**

1. Selvakumaran @ Selvakumar  
S/o. Ponnusamy,  
No.E-8, All India Radio Colony, S.M.Nagar,  
Thirumullaivoyal, Thiruvallur District.
2. Muthukumaran  
S/o. Ponnusamy,  
No.11, Adhithanar Street, Gandhi Nagar,  
Avadi, Thiruvallur District.
3. Mythili W/o. Ramachandran  
No.3/78, Kaliyamman Koil Street, Puthur Post,  
Sirkazhi Taluk, Mailaduthurai District.
4. Kaliyamoorthy  
S/o. Panjanathan,  
No.3/25, Vaikkankarai Street, Puthur Post,  
Sirkazhi Taluk, Mailaduthurai District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by Inspector of Police  
Anaikaranchathiram Police Station,  
Mayiladuthurai District.  
Crime No.123 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.123 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, on such terms and conditions and as this Court.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.M. Vinoth

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,  
Counsel for Government of Tamilnadu  
[Criminal Side]

### **ORDER**

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 294[b], 352, 506[1] of IPC read with Section 4 of TNPWH [Amendment] Act, 2002 in Crime No.123 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners and false implication in the case, seeks indulgence of this Court. He submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Therefore he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned counsel for the Government of Tamilnadu appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are



relatives and in a dispute over ancestral property, during a wordy quarrel, the petitioners have abused and attacked the defacto complainant with hands.

WEB COPY

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Counsel for the Government of Tamilnadu for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record and considering that the injured was treated as out patient and it was a simple injury, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Sirkazhi**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

29.05.2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

vrc

**Note:**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order on the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded on Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate,  
Sirkazhi.
2. The Inspector of Police  
Anaikaranchathiram Police Station,  
Mayiladuthurai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14000 of 2



**MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.**

VRC

**CRL OP No. 14000 of 2026**

**29-05-2026**