



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14022 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 14022 of 2026

Boobalan

..Petitioner

Vs

State Represented by
Station House Officer
AWPS Kottakuppam,
Villupuram District,
Crime No. 25 of 2025

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in SPL SC No. 317 of 2025 on the file of the Special Court for Trial of cases under POCSO Act at Villupuram in Crime No. 25 of 2025.

For Petitioner:

Mr.P.Krishnakumar

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.07.2025 for the alleged offences under Sections 87, 127(2), 115(2), 351(2) BNS 2023 and 5(I), 5(n), 5(j)(ii), 6 of POCSO Act 2012 and under Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Spl.SC.No.317 of 2025 on the file of the learned Sessions



Judge, Special Court for exclusive trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Villupuram
in Crime No. 25 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has committed penetrative sexual assault on the victim girl. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 29.07.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 29.07.2025 and he is aged about 41 years old. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side); and according to the DNA report, this petitioner's paternity is proved and hence



considering the seriousness of the allegation, this Court is of the firm view that the petitioner does not deserve the grant of bail.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

08-06-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Station House Officer
AWPS Kottakuppam,
Villupuram District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14022 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 14022 of 2026

08-06-2026