



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13938 of 2



I IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 13938 of 2026

Jayanthi

..Petitioner

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
CSCID, Tiruvallur,
Tiruvallur District.
Crime No.209 of 2026

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of her arrest by the Respondent police concerned in Crime No.209 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, CSCID Tiruvallur, Tiruvallur District.

For Petitioner:

Mr.A.Murugavel

For Respondent:

Mr.N.Palanivel
Govt Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 6(4) of TNSC (RDCS) Order 1982 r/w 7(1)a (ii) of EC Act, 1955 in Crime



No.209 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 1050 kgs of PDS rice. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, though the petitioner has no previous case pending against him, considering the social impact upon the smuggling of PDS of 1050 kgs, this Court is of the firm view that any leniency shown to the petitioner by granting him liberty would definitely



jeopardize the larger interest of the society. Hence, it is not appropriate to
enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

02-06-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I,
Tiruvallur

2. The Inspector of Police,
CSCID, Tiruvallur,
Tiruvallur District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13938 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 13938 of 2026

02-06-2026