WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.RAJESH VIVEKANANTHAN

WP.No.54 of 2023
CNR:{HCMA011155342022}
and
WMP.No.39 of 2023

V.Kalpana

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
   Collectorate Office,
   Cuddalore District, Cuddalore.

2. The Special Tahsildar,
   Adi Dravidar Welfare Department,
   Cuddalore District.

3. R.Jayapal

4. S.Ravi @ Ravichandran

..Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to evict the encroachers including the respondents 3 and 4 or any other

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

persons from the petitioner's property comprised in S.No.45/7B, Thottapattu Village, Cuddalore District.

For Petitioner: Mr.G.Ethirajulu

For Respondents: Mr.B.Sivakollappan
Additional Government Pleader For R1 & R2
Mr.J.Jayamalan For R3 & R4

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by S.M.Subramaniam J.)

The Writ of Mandamus has been instituted to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to evict the encroachers including the respondents 3 and 4 or any other persons from the petitioner's property comprised in S.No.45/7B, Thottapattu Village, Cuddalore District.

2.It is not in dispute that the lands belonged to the writ petitioner was acquired and the said acquisition proceedings came to be quashed by this Court and the said decision became final. Consequent to the quashing of the acquisition proceedings, the ownership of the land was restored in the name of the writ petitioner. Since the respondents 3 and 4 encroached upon the subject land, the petitioner is constrained to move the present writ petition.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

3.The fact regarding quashing the acquisition proceedings is not in dispute. Consequently, the ownership/title restored in the name of the writ petitioner.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner Mr.Ethirajulu, would submit that the Government has granted alternate lands to the respondents 3 and 4 along with other assignees. However, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 3 and 4 would submit that the respondents 3 and 4 have not occupied the alternate land and therefore, the authorities have to initiate action in this regard. Further, a fresh land acquisition proceedings are initiated and notice was issued. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the subsequent acquisition proceedings had been withdrawn.

5.May that as it be. As of now, the writ petitioner is the owner of the property. In pursuance to the land acquisition proceedings, the respondents 3 and 4 are in possession of the land now which belongs to the writ petitioner. The writ petitioner has already given a complaint both

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

before the revenue authorities as well as before the Police authorities. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that on account of pendency of the present writ petition, the authorities are not initiating any action.

6.In view of the said position, the respondents are directed to entertain the complaint already filed by the writ petitioner and initiate all necessary actions and to ensure that the acquired properties are handed over to the land owners.

7.With these directions, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (R.R.V.,J.)
27-10-2026

Index: Yes
Speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

cse

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Collector,
   Collectorate Office,
   Cuddalore District, Cuddalore.

2. The Special Tahsildar,
   Adi Dravidar Welfare Department,
   Cuddalore District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
R.RAJESH VIVEKANANTHAN, J.

cse

WP.No.54 of 2023

27-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis