



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13803 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 13803 of 2026

1. Sathish
2. S Vinod kumar
3. Lakshmi Narayanan
4. Dilinkumar Pazhani
5. Vasantha kumar N
6. Roshan V

..Petitioners

Vs

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police
T-19, Kelambakkam Police Station,
Chennai

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.146 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, T-19, Kelambakkam Police Station, Chennai.

For Petitioners: Mr.R. Muthukumar

For Respondent: Ms.R.S.Indira
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor: Mr.R.Gokulraj

ORDER


The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 118(1), 127(2) and 324(4) of BNS in Crime No.146 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant is managing resort at ECR. The 1st petitioner came to resort with 5 boyfriends and 4 girlfriends and booked the resort on 06.05.2026. The said de facto complainant entered into the girls room and misbehaved with them. Due to which, the petitioners assaulted the de facto complainant and caused injury. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the occurrence took place on 06.05.2026. On the date of occurrence, the de facto complainant misbehaved with the girlfriends of the 1st petitioner and that is why the occurrence took place. At this juncture, he relied upon the FIR where there is reference about the conduct of the de facto complainant. He further submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the intervener submitted that the petitioners intimidated the de facto complainant to withdraw the complaint.

WEB COPY

6. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, considering the totality of the circumstances and the averments made in the FIR, and upon the fact that though A1 has one previous case, according to the submissions made by the Government counsel (Criminal Side), it has ended up in acquittal in S.C.No.348 of 2026 dated 15.04.2019 before the learned XVII Additional Sessions Judge, Chennai. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Tiruporur**, on condition that the **petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:



WEB COPY



(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;


(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday twice at 10.30 a.m., and 05.30 p.m until further orders;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

SHL

15-06-2026



To:

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Tiruporur

2. The Inspector of Police
T-19, Kelambakkam Police Station,
Chennai

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13803 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 13803 of 2026

15-06-2026