



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13618 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 13618 of 2026

Yuvanraj

..Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police
T-11, Thiruninravur Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No. 121 of 2026)

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner/accused herein on Bail in Crime No. 121 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:


Mr.M.Gnanasekar

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody for alleged offences punishable under Sections 189(4), 125, and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, read with Section 25(1A) of the Arms Act, 1959, and Sections 4 and 5 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908, in the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.




2. The case of the prosecution is that, acting on secret information, the respondent police learned that one Arul, an accused in the murder case of BSP Tamil Nadu State President Mr. Armstrong, who was out on bail, was regularly travelling in his white Triber car to comply with his bail conditions at the Court near Thirunindravur. The petitioner, along with other accused persons, followed the said Arul in their cars while holding deadly weapons, including knives, and possessed weapons in a manner threatening to the public. Hence, the accused were arrested at the scene.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and not connected with the offences alleged in the complaint. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in incarceration for a considerable period; hence, the counsel prayed for the grant of bail.

4. The said contention was strongly opposed by the learned Government Counsel (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent, who submitted that the petitioner was arrested along with serious arms while attempting a retaliation attack. He further submitted that there is one previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the



learned counsel on either side.

WEB COPY

6. Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances, and the submissions made by the learned Government Counsel which disclose that this incident constitutes a severe retaliation attempt. The petitioner was arrested with possession of serious, deadly arms. In such backdrop, if enlarged on bail, the same would cause grave repercussions in society. In view of the nature and gravity of the offence, the dangerous potential of a retaliatory strike, so also the criminal antecedents against petitioner, and the overall threat to public peace, this Court is not inclined to grant bail at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

08-06-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II,
Thiruvallur
2. The Inspector of Police
T-11, Thiruninravur Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13618 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 13618 of 2026

08-06-2026