



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13422 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 13422 of 2026

Deepan @ Sanjay

..Petitioner

Vs

The State,
Inspector Of Police
Ambur Taluk Police Station
Tirpathur District.
(Crime No.136 of 2026)

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in the event of his arrest in Crime No.136 of 2026 pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.T. Dhasarathan

For Respondent:

Ms.R.S.Indira

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS [U/Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC], in Crime No.136 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 05.05.2026, when the de facto complainant was passing by the petitioner's house, the petitioner's dog barked at him and attempted to bite him. When the de facto complainant questioned the petitioner regarding the same, the petitioner, along with his friends, attacked the de facto complainant and caused injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are no adverse antecedents against the petitioner. She further submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital on 13.05.2026. However, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations and the submissions of the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) that there are no adverse antecedents




against the petitioner and upon the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is of the firm view that, at this length of time, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Therefore, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-Ambur, Tirupathur District** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;**



WEB COPY



(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

09-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VEDA

To

1.Judicial Magistrate-Ambur, Tirupathur District.

2.Inspector Of Police
Ambur Taluk Police Station
Tirupathur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13422 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

VEDA

CRL OP No. 13422 of 2026

09-06-2026