



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13444 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 13444 of 2026

1. Muniyan
2. Harikrishnan
3. Gopal @ Gopalakrishnan
4. Karthick

..Petitioners

Vs

The state rep by
The Inspector of Police
Arcot Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
Crime No.173 of 2026

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime NO.173 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners:


Mr. Thirumoorthy D

For Respondent:

Mr.N.Palanivel
Govt Advocate
(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 [147, 148,



294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC] in Crime No.173 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the de facto complainant are neighbours who frequently quarrel. On 18.05.2026, there was an altercation between the petitioners and the de facto complainant. Hence, the case. Also, it is a case of case and case in counter.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the de facto complainant sustained injury and admitted in hospital and discharged on 28.05.2026. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the




submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, considering the facts that the issue is between the neighbours and upon the fact that it is a case of case and case in counter and upon the further fact, that the injured has been discharged, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required. This Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Arcot**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent



WEB COPY



Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

02-06-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Arcot
2. The Inspector of Police
Arcot Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13444 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 13444 of 2026

02-06-2026