



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP No. 13120 of 2026

A. Jeyaseelan

..Petitioner(s)/accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by The Sub Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station,
St. Thomas Mount, Chengalpattu District.
(in Crime No.8 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)/
complainant


PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent pending investigation in Crime No.8 of 2026 on the file the respondent and render justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.Tamil Thendral Arasu
For Respondent(s):	Mr.S.Balaji Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 13.05.2026, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2.The petitioner / Accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961 and Sections 74, 85, 119 (2), 329 (4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.8 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.




3. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the wife of the petitioner. It is alleged that from the date of marriage the petitioner and his family members demanded dowry, abused using filthy languages and threatened the defacto-complainant to quit her profession. Hence, the case.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that there is a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the defacto-complainant and living separately. It is submitted that H.M.O.P.No.926 of 2026 is pending before Family Court, Chennai. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner considering the nature of the allegations involving dowry harassment. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.




7. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence alleged to have committed by the petitioner, and also considering the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

(i) The petitioner shall be released on bail in the event of arrest or in the event of surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alandur, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) along with two sureties for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alandur;

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate, Alandur shall obtain a copy of any one of their identity proofs to ensure their identity;

(iii) The petitioner shall not enter into the defacto-complainant's house or her work place;

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by police as and when required;



(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(vi) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alandur/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by them as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.


21-05-2026

ep

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order on the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded on Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order, when uploaded on the official website of this Court, will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To:-

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Alandur.

2. The Sub Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station,
St. Thomas Mount,
Chengalpattu District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13120 of 2



R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

EP

CRL OP No. 13120 of 2026

21-05-2026