



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12627 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12627 of 2026

Prabu @ Babloo

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. by Inspector of Police
Perambalur Police Station,
Perambalur District.
Crime No. 154 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

To enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 154/2026 (on the file of Inspector of Police, Perambalur Police Station) and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.Shanmugam

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.J.Priyadarsana
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 232, 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime. No. 154 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 17.12.2025 at about 9.30 p.m., A1 contacted the defacto complainant over phone and threatened him not to give evidence in a murder case pending before Trichy Court against his close



associate Prabhu @ Babloo. Subsequently, A2, claiming to be A1's wife, also threatened the complainant that he would be murdered if he gives evidence. The defacto complainant is scheduled to appear before Trichy Court on 09.03.2026 to give evidence in his brother's murder case. Fearing for his life due to the threats, the complainant lodged the present complaint against the accused. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is under judicial custody since 01.04.2026. He further submitted that there is no specific overtact against the petitioner. During the scene of occurrence, he was inside the jail. He would further submit that he is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Co-accused person was released on bail. The petitioner undertakes to abide by any condition this Court may deem fit and proper and prays for grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally 5 accused persons in this case. Petitioner is arrayed as A3. There are two previous cases as against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rivals submissions made on both sides and nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and also the fact that though there are previous cases pending against the petitioner, bail has been granted in all those cases, and considering the fact that even according to the prosecution, there is no specific overtact as against this petitioner, and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Perambalur** and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;



[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

14-05-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GD



Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Perambalur

2. Inspector of Police
Perambalur Police Station,
Perambalur District.

3. The Superintendent
Central Prison, Trichy

4. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12627 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J.

GD

CRL OP No. 12627 of 2026

14-05-2026