



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12960 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 14-05-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

**CRL OP No. 12960 of 2026**

Rajkumar  
S/o. Saravanan,  
No. 62/54, Selvalakshmi Nagar,  
Perumal Agaram, Thiruverkadu,  
Chennai - 600 077.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. by Inspector of Police  
Arambakkam Police Station,  
Tiruvallur District,  
SC. No. 411/2025 and Crime No. 531/2024.

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on Bail in SC. No. 411 of 2025 in Crime No. 531 of 2024 on the file of District Mahila Court at Tiruvallur and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Ganesha Moorthy B.

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Vinothraja, Government Advocate  
(Crl.Side)

**Order**

The petitioner/Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.11.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 309(4) and 311 of BNS Act, 2023 in Cr. No.531 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that while the defacto complainant was in a tyre shop in Arumbakkam junction, the petitioner along with other accused persons came in auto, entered the shop, closed the shutter and demanded money, when the same was refused by the defacto complainant, the petitioner and other accused threatened her using knife, snatched her gold chain and cell phone. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is an innocent and he is nothing to do with the alleged offences. The earlier bail applications filed by the petitioner before this Court were dismissed. He is in judicial custody from 30.11.2024. The co-accused/A2 was released on bail during December 2025. Therefore prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the petitioner along with the co-accused have trespassed into the shop of the defacto complainant and committed robbery. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, this case was registered against the petitioner and other accused persons. It is further submitted that 53 grams alone have been recovered and there are 48 other previous cases pending against the petitioner. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, considering the nature of offences, considering the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 30.11.2024 and the co-accused was already released on bail, and though the petitioner has 48 previous cases, in all the cases, he was granted bail, and all the cases are not similar in nature and some of the cases were disposed of, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Mahila Court at Tiruvallur and on further conditions that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the District Mahila Court, Tiruvallur, daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders;**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

**14-05-2026**

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order



Neutral Citation: Yes/No

SK

**Note:**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The Inspector of Police  
Arambakkam Police Station,  
Tiruvallur District.

2.The District Mahila Court, Tiruvallur.

3.The Superintendent of Prisons,  
Central Prison, Cuddalore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,  
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12960 of 2



**P.DHANABAL J.**

sk

**CRL OP No. 12960 of 2026**

**14-05-2026**