



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12745 of 2026

Hussain Basha @ Hussaini Basha

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
W2 All Women Police Station (AWPS),
Triplicane, Chennai.
(Crime No.4 of 2026)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.4 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.C.Paul Kanagaraj

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 75(1)(i), 75(1)(ii) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.4 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant, who was in a relationship with one Vignesh, had engaged the petitioner, an advocate practising before the Egmore Court, for filing a bail application after Vignesh was remanded to Judicial custody on 27.03.2026. It is alleged that, the bail application was dismissed by the said Court. Thereafter, on the pretext of obtaining signatures on case-related documents, the petitioner allegedly called the de facto complainant to his residence on 04.05.2026, wrongfully confined her, sexually harassed her, attempted to commit sexual assault, abused her in filthy language and criminally intimidated her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.



5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the nature of offences, and further taking note of the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Metropolitan Additional Mahila Court, Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent



police on the 1st working day of every English calendar month at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three months and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S. 2023.

14-05-2026

Jd

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1. The Metropolitan Additional Mahila Court,
Egmore, Chennai.

WEB COPY

2. The Inspector of Police,
W2 All Women Police Station (AWPS),
Triplicane, Chennai.
(Crime No.4 of 2026)

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12745 of 2026



P.DHANABAL J.
jd

CRL OP No. 12745 of 2026

14-05-2026