



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 14-05-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

**CRL OP No. 12847 of 2026**

Anuth  
S/o. Thamothiran.  
No. 16, Mooppanar Nagar,  
Edayansathu, Vellore District

..Petitioner

Vs

State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Anaicut Police Station,  
Anaicut. Vellore District  
(Crime No. 04 of 2026)

..Respondent


**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 04 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Anaicut Police Station, Anaicut, Vellore District.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Vinodhkumar

For Respondent: Mr.A.Gopinath  
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of BNS Act, in Crime No.4 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.




WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, petitioner alleged to have dumped two units of sand in front of the house of one Arul. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent persons and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they had been falsely implicated in this case. He submitted that sand has not been transferred. Hence, they pray to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that petitioner had dumped two units of sand and one case is pending against the petitioner .

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the nature of offence, and further taking note of the fact that the sand has not been transported, and though petitioner has some previous cases in all cases already bail was granted to him and all the cases are not similar in nature, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.V, Vellore** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S. 2023.

**14-05-2026**


Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

jd/mrn

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.V,  
Vellore.

2.The Inspector of Police  
Anaicut Police Station,  
Anaicut. Vellore District  
(Crime No. 04 of 2026)



3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.

WEB COPY

CRL OP No.12847 of 2





WEB COPY

CRL OP No.12847 of 2



**P.DHANABAL, J.**

**MRN**

**CRL OP No. 12847 of 2026**

**14-05-2026**