



WEB COPY

W.A.No.775 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.03.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDER

W.A.No.775 of 2026
And
CMP.Nos.8001 & 8002 of 2026

Dr.K.Rayar

...Appellant

Vs.

- 1.B.Mageshwari
- 2.K.Suganthi
- 3.R.Sumithra
- 4.D.Bhuvaneshwari
- 5.G.Thamilarasi
- 6.S.Rosi Little Flower
- 7.E.Bhavani
- 8.D.Sarala
- 9.N.Dhanalakshmi
- 10.K.Vijayalakshmi
- 11.K.Nirmala
- 12.A.Ramaraj
- 13.V.Sathish Kumar
- 14.D.Ranjith Kumar
- 15.M.Monisha
- 16.C.Jerald Mery
- 17.A.Revathy



W.A.No.775 of 2026

18.Dr.K.Ponmudi

19.K.Dhanraj

20.M.Dhanarajan

21.R.S.Sampathkumar

22.M.Pandurangan

23.M.S.Natarajan

24.V.Karunamoorthy

25.M.R.Sheriff

26.S.Kanthavel

...Respondents

PRAYER : The Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent praying to set aside the order dated 13.06.2023 order in W.P.No.9083 of 2023, WMP.Nos.9220 & 9221 of 2023 and allow this present Writ Appeal.

For Appellant : Dr.K.Rayar (Party-in-Person)

For Respondents : Mr.N.Suresh for R1 to R17

Mrs.R.Poornima for R19

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.)

The Writ Appeal is taken up for hearing in view of the fact that the petitioner is appearing in person.

2. The petitioner appearing in person would submit that the Writ Petitions are filed based on the National Mega Lok Adalat award passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Villupuram.



3. The learned Single Judge considered the fact that the award was passed in open Court proceeding and all the parties have signed the award, including the petitioner who was arrayed as an accused. The petitioner also paid some amount and had given assurance that the balance amount will be paid within the timeline as stated in the award.

4. However, he would submit that his signature was obtained by coercion at the instance of some persons including former Minister.

5. The learned Single Judge considered the facts and found no merits to establish the allegations raised by the petitioner in all the Writ Petitions. Further the Writ Court confirmed that the execution proceedings filed for recovery of dues are maintainable.

6. May that as it be, it is always between the parties to adjudicate the matter in the manner known to law. This Court is not inclined to go into the merits of the case since the dispute is still pending between the parties and the execution petition filed is also pending. In view of the said factum this Court does not find any infirmity or perversity in the writ order under challenge in the present intra-Court appeal. However, the petitioner is at liberty to defend his case before the appropriate forum in execution proceedings in the manner known to law.



W.A.No.775 of 2026

WEB COPY

7. With the above observation, this Writ Appeal is **dismissed**. No costs.
Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(S.M.S., J.) (K.S., J.)
24.03.2026

dsa
Index :Yes/No
Neutral Citation :Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order



WEB COPY



W.A.No.775 of 2026

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
and
K.SURENDER, J.

dsa

W.A.No.775 of 2026

24.03.2026