



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13006 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No.13006 of 2026

Parasuraman Balaraman

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police
V-4, Rajamangalam Police Station,
Chennai-600 099

..Respondent(s)


Prayer: The criminal original petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, praying to enlarge him on bail in the event of his arrest, in Crime No.159 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, V-4, Rajamangalam Police Station.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.Victory Law Associates

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329, 115(2), 296, 351(3) and 118(1) of BNS, 2023 in connection with the Crime No. 159 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner is a resident in the above address and the defacto complainant is an unknown person. According to the defacto complainant, the petitioner was attacked by an unknown mob on 26.04.2026 and on 29.04.2026, the defacto complainant appeared before the Magistrate Court at Egmore when the bail petition of the 1st and the 2nd accused were called. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is an innocent person and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case based on the confession statement of the other accused persons. He would submit that this is the first anticipatory bail application of the petitioner before this Court and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, the petitioner may be released on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner and that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit the petitioner was implicated in this case based on the confession statement made by the other accused persons, who were arrested and released on bail. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the



WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, the nature of the offences, and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and considering that there are no adverse antecedents reported against the petitioner and the accused persons were arrested and released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **XIII Metropolitan Magistrate at Egmore, Chennai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement,



threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S. 2023.

14-05-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

mka/sha

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13006 of 2



To:

1. The XIII Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai
2. The Inspector of Police
V-4, Rajamangalam Police Station,
Chennai-600 099
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13006 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J.

mka/sha

CRL OP No. 13006 of 2026

14-05-2026