



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12404 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12404 of 2026

Kaleeswari,
S/o.Karuppasamy,
No.76/2, Anna Colony,
Melachinnayapuram,
Thammanayakkanpatti,
T.Sedapatti, Virudhunagar - 626 204.

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
Padalam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No. 138 of 2026).

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S, to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Crime No. 138 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Vignesh Masilamani

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner / Accused, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(3), 296(b),



115(2), 118(1), 140(3), 310(2), 351(3), 61(2)(a), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 in connection with the Cr. No.138 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had purchased a car by obtaining a loan from the State Bank of India. Due to the non-payment of dues, the Bank employees seized the car from the petitioner. The petitioner along with others obstructed the bank employees and caused damages to their vehicle and took back the car. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that only the co-accused had took the car from the Bank Employees. The petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case by the respondent and hence the petitioner may be released on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner along with others obstructed the Bank employees and took back the car seized by them from the petitioner and the petitioner has also caused damages to the Bank employees vehicle. He would further submit that the car has been recovered from the petitioner and the co-accused has been released on bail and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, considering the nature of offences, considering the fact that the dispute between the parties is with regard to the re-payment of loan and the car has been recovered from the petitioner and the co-accused has also been released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate-II, Maduranthakam** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. for 30 days and thereafter as and when required;

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of



the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

14-05-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rst

Note:

1.Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court

2.All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



WEB COPY

To:-

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-II,
Maduranthakam.
- 2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12404 of 2



P.DHANABAL J.

rst

CRL OP No. 12404 of 2026

14-05-2026