



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.13137 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.05.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.13137 of 2026

Ganeshkumar @ Dhaneshkumar,
S/o.Balu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vedaranyam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
(Crime No.12 of 2026)

...Respondent


Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest, pending investigation in Crime No.12 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Gokulakrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.12 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner, along with other accused, waylaid the defacto complainant and demanded money to purchase alcohol and when the defacto complainant refused to give money, the petitioner and other accused abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and attacked him with a broken bottle, thereby, causing grievous injuries. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) appearing for the respondent, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has six previous cases pending against him. He further submitted that this is the third anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail, he may abscond and commit similar offences in the future. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offences and also considering the fact that though there are six previous cases pending against the petitioner, as he has been granted bail in all the said cases and further taking note of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **Judicial cum Magistrate Court, Vedaranyam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;


[e] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

14.05.2026

sp



To

1. The Judicial cum Magistrate Court,
Vedaranyam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vedaranyam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.13137 of 2026



P.DHANABAL, J.

sp

Crl.O.P.No.13137 of 2026

14.05.2026