



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.13021 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No.13021 of 2026

Vicky @ Vigneshwaran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep by, Inspector of Police
T-12, Poonamallee Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.746 of 2025

..Respondent(s)


Prayer: The criminal original petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest by the Respondent Police in Crime.No.746 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.S.Senthil Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 309(4), 324(2), 311 and 351(3) of BNSS, 2023 (@ U/s.341, 294(b), 323,336, 392, 426, 397, 506(ii) of IPC), in connection with Crime No.746 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with another person had asked bribe from the defacto complainant. When the defacto complainant refused to give money, the petitioner along with another person had allegedly attacked the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.3500/- from the defacto complainant at knife point. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is an innocent person and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case based on the confession statement of the accused. He would submit that this is the second anticipatory bail application of the petitioner before this Court and the earlier application in Crl.O.P.No.6575 of 2026, was dismissed on 13.03.2026. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, the petitioner may be released on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the offences are grave in nature and there are previous cases against the petitioner which are not similar in nature and bail has been granted in all cases and the co-accused has been arrested and released on bail. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY


6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, the nature of the offences, and the fact that there are previous cases which are not similar in nature and the petitioner has been granted bail in all cases and the co-accused has been released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate-II, Poonamallee** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the Mahabalipuram Police Station daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of



the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].


[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S. 2023.

14-05-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
mka/sha

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Poonamallee

2. Inspector of Police

T-12, Poonamallee Police Station,
Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,

Madras High Court,
Chennai.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.13021 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J.

mka/sha

CRL OP No. 13021 of 2026

14-05-2026