



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13052 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 13052 of 2026

Ranjith kumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police
RS Puram Police Station,
Coimbatore District,
(Crime No. 278 of 2024)

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioners on bail in C.C.No. 3417 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Coimbatore, in Crime No.278 of 2024 and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Thamarai Selvan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Vinothraja,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/A12, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.05.2025, for the offences under Sections 109, 329(4), 351(3) @ 109, 191(3), 332(b), 61(2)(a) of BNS and 307, 427 and 506(ii) IPC @ 307, 148, 120(b) IPC, in C.C.No.3417 of 2025 (Crime No.278 of 2024) on the file of the



learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Coimbatore, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, due to previous enmity, the petitioner joined hands with other accused persons and entered into the office of the *de facto* complainant and attacked him with patta knife and stones and caused severe injuries to him. Hence, the case.

3.Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is a respectable person and law abiding citizen and only based on the confession statement of the co-accused, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the injured is now discharged from the hospital and in all the previous cases pending against the petitioner, bail was granted to the petitioner. The learned counsel would further submit that the other co-accused have also been granted bail and the petitioner is in incarceration for nearly one year and hence, prays for grant of bail.

4.*Per contra*, Mr.R.Vinothraja, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, would submit that the petitioner has entered into a criminal conspiracy to murder the *de facto* complainant and now, the investigation has also been completed and there are 5 previous cases pending against the petitioner. Therefore, he opposes for grant of bail.



5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the entire materials on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions and the nature of offence and also considering the fact that the injured is discharged from the hospital and also considering the fact that, investigation has also been completed, and though 5 previous cases are pending as against the petitioner, which are of similar nature, in all the cases, bail was granted to him, and also considering the fact that the other co-accused have also been granted bail and also having regard to the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner for nearly one year, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Coimbatore, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Coimbatore, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;



[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

14-05-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MKN

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



1.The Judicial Magistrate No.1,
Coimbatore.

3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 13052 of 2



P.DHANABAL J.

MKN

CRL OP No. 13052 of 2026

14-05-2026