



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12323 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 12323 of 2026

Ranjith

..Petitioner

Vs

State represented by its
Station House Officer,
Thiyagadurugam Police Station,
Villupuram District
Crime No.393 of 2025

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.393 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr. K.Kannadhasan

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.09.2025 for the alleged offence under section 8(c), 20(b) (ii) (C), 29(1) and 25 Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act (NDPS Act), 1985 in Crime No.393 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the respondent police seized 2kgs packets of 6 numbers, 2 kgs 100 grams packets of two numbers, 3 kgs packets of one number and 1 kgs 400 grams packet totally 21.700 kg of ganja from the petitioner. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the occurrence took place on 22.09.2025 and the total recovery was 21.7 kgs of ganja. He further submitted that the entire recovery is from the car where this petitioner was travelled as co-passenger. He further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Counsel (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner travelled in that vehicle, hence, the entire recovery must also be counted against this petitioner too. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and



perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), taking into consideration of the fact that the petitioner travelled in the vehicle, the entire recovery must also be counted against this petitioner too. The said contention of the learned Government Counsel is well merited. From the factual positions, the total recovery from the petitioner is 21.700 kgs of ganja. To overcome the rigour under section 37 of NDPS Act, this Court could not find any material.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

12-06-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Special Court for Trial of Narcotic
Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act Cases,
Villupuram

2. The Station House Officer,
Thiyagadurugam Police Station,
Villupuram District

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12323 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 12323 of 2026

12-06-2026