



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12506 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-05-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12506 of 2026

G.Vinothkumar
S/o Govindaraj, No.55, Ambedkar
Street, Muthamil Nagar,
Thirunindravur, Tiruvallur - 602 024

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police, Palladam
Police Station, Tiruppur District,
Cr. No.1176/2008

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with S.C. No.355/2025 on the file of I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur

For Petitioner(s): Mr.G.Paramasivam

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petition is filed by the petitioner/A1 under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. 2023 seeking bail for the offence under Section 397 I.P.C in connection with Cr.No.1176 of 2008 on the file of respondent police, pending trial on the file of I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur in S.C.No.355 of 2025.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner along with the other co-accused committed robbery in the year 2008 and used a deadly weapon. The petitioner was allegedly absconded for several years and was secured on



execution of NBW on 02.12.2024 and subsequently, the case was committed to the Sessions Court and take on file as S.C.No.355 of 2025.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioners submitted the petitioner does not have any case similar in nature as alleged by the respondent. The petitioner is innocent and that the petitioner has not committed any offence alleged by the respondent. The petitioner will not abscond and will not tamper the witness. The petitioner undertake to appear as and when directed by this Court. The petitioner is prepared to furnish necessity sureties for releasing the Petitioner on bail. He is the only bread winner of his family. He would further submit that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 520 days from 02.12.2024. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will again abscond and will not appear before this Court and will indulge in same type of offence. The 2nd accused namely Sudhakar also has to be secured. The petitioner is involved in 9 Cases in the Police Stations of Mannarkudi, Maduravail, Thanjavur and Chennai. Hence, strongly opposes for grant of Bail to the petitioner and seeks for dismissal of the Petition.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials placed on record.



6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, nature of offence, though there are several cases pending against the petitioner, in all cases, bail was granted to him and all the cases are not similar kind and considering the fact that the investigation is completed and the case is posted for trial and also the incarceration period spent by the petitioner from 02.12.2024, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Trial Court daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner



in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

13-05-2026

dhk

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

Note :

1.Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2.All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The Inspector of Police

The State

Palladam Police Station, Tiruppur

District, Cr. No.1176/2008

2. The I Additional District and Sessions
Judge, Tiruppur

3. The Superintendent, Central Prison,
Vellore

4. The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12506 of 20



P.DHANABAL J.

dhk

**CRL OP No. 12506 of
2026**

13-05-2026