



Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

1. Ramesh
S/o Arivukarasu,
Soolankurichi Village,
Vanapuram Taluk,
Kallakurichi District.
2. Arivukarasu
S/o Muthu Reddy
Soolankurichi Village,
Vanapuram Taluk,
Kallakurichi District.
3. Senthil @ Senthilkumar
S/o Muthu Reddy
Soolakurichi Village Vanapuram Taluk
Kallakurichi District


..petitioners(s)

Vs

The State rep by The Inspector of Police
Thiyagadurgam Police Station
Kallakurichi District,
(Crime No.72 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)

To enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of his
arrest in Crime No. 72/2026 on the file of the respondent, and thus render
justice.



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026


For petitioner(s): R Vivekananthan
R.Vivekananthan
S. Senthilmurugan
M. Kruthika
J. Mahesh
S. Manoj

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent Police, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4) of BNS Act r/w Section 4 of the Tamil nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.72 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 01.03.2026, due to the previous enmity between the petitioners and the defacto complainant in respect of land and pathway, the petitioners along with others abused the defacto complainant and his wife in filthy language and attacked with hands and wooden log and caused injuries. Hence, the case.



Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that the dispute between the parties is purely civil in nature; and that the defacto complainant had been discharged from hospital and that a counter complaint has also been lodged by the petitioners and thus, this complaint has been filed only due to personal vengeance and hence prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the case of the prosecution and on instructions, submitted that there was a property dispute between the parties and apart from this case, the petitioners has got no previous case.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side, nature of offence and the fact that there was a property dispute between the parties and there is no previous case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.



Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kallakurichi** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police **on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

14-05-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

AY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Thiyagadurgam Police Station
Kallakurichi District,

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

P.DHANABAL, J.

AY

2.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Kallakurichi.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl. O.P. No. 12718 of 2026

14-05-2026