



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED: 13-05-2026**

**WEB COPY**

**CORAM**

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

**CRL OP No. 12287 of 2026**

1. M .Rangasamy  
S/o.Muthusamy,  
65, Karunkalivalasu,  
Ponnapuram,  
Dharapuram Taluk ,  
Tiruppur District
2. Ponnammal  
W/o.Rangasamy,  
65, Karunkalivalasu,  
Ponnapuram,  
Dharapuram Taluk ,  
Tiruppur District
3. Arulprakash  
S/o.Rangasamy,  
65, Karunkalivalasu,  
Ponnapuram,  
Dharapuram Taluk ,  
Tiruppur District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by The Inspector of Police,  
Dharapuram Police Station,  
Tiruppur District.  
(Crime No. 189 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)



Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023, to grant Anticipatory Bail to the Petitioners in the event of their arrest in Crime No. 189 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent police, pending investigation and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.N. Ponraj

For Respondent(s): S.Balaji,

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor(s) :Mr. S. Prabhu

### **ORDER**

The petitioners / accused, who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 324(2), 326 and 351(3) of BNS r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman (Amendment) Act, 2002 and Section 3(2) of Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992 in connection with the Crime No.189 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to prior enmity regarding encroachment of a common pathway and damage to an irrigation pipeline, the petitioners, on 03.05.2026 at about 11.00 a.m., prevented the defacto complainant and others from repairing the pipeline, abused them in filthy language and criminally intimidated them. It is further alleged that the petitioners obstructed the complainant from irrigating her land, thereby causing hardship. Hence, the case.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and have been falsely implicated in this case.

He further submitted that the petitioners have no previous cases. He also submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that Petitioners have no previous cases. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences and taking into account the fact that there is a dispute between the parties in respect of the property and that the petitioners have no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Dharapuram** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties



each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

**[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

**13-05-2026**

AT



**Note :**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Dharapuram.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Dharapuram Police Station,  
Tiruppur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12287 of 2



**P.DHANABAL, J.**

AT

CRL OP No. 12287 of 2026

13-05-2026