



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12918 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 12918 of 2026

M.Vijayakumar

..Petitioner

Vs

State rep by.
The Inspector of Police,
Sathuvachari Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.463 of 2024).

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in event of his arrest in Crime No.463 of 2024 pending investigation on the file of Respondent Police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.Balamanikandan Ganesan

For Respondent:

Mr.N.Palanivel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 3(1), 4(1) and 5(1)(a) of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.463 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.12.2024, while the Inspector of Police was on patrol along with her team found that some of the persons are illegally run the prostitution at Geetha Service Apartment, Vellore. Accordingly, they were conducted a raid in the building and found that A1 to A4 are conducting prostitution by using three ladies. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that this is the fourth anticipatory bail petition. He further submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the building and on 14.02.2023, he leased out the apartment to one Niruban Vijay for a period of three years. However, without the knowledge of the petitioner, the accused persons involved themselves in the aforementioned illegal activities. He further submitted that the petitioner was implicated in this case for the mere reason that he is the owner of the building; except for that, there is no specific overt act alleged against him. Further, the petitioner runs several businesses in a legal manner and there is no necessity for him to earn money from the alleged illegal activities. Therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted on 18.12.2024, while the Inspector of Police was on patrol along with her team found that some of the persons are illegally run the prostitution at Geetha Service Apartment, Vellore. Accordingly, they were



conducted a raid in the building and found that A1 to A4 are conducting prostitution by using three ladies. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and noting the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides that this is the fourth anticipatory bail application and a perusal of the earlier order dated 01.04.2026 in CrI.O.P.No.8104 of 2026 shows that this Court has already narrated the incident. Furthermore, the petitioner has one previous case of a similar nature, and as rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), there is no change in circumstances. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

02-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

NSL



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12918 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

NSL

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Sathuvachari Police Station,
Vellore District.

2. The Judicial Magistrate – V, Vellore.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 12918 of 2026

02-06-2026