



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12344 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12344 of 2026

1. Anandhi
D/o.Raja, No.3/167, Arunthathiyar Street,
Neikuppai, Veppanthatti Taluk,
Perambalur District.
2. Chinnaponnu
D/o.Raja, No.3/167, Arunthathiyar Street,
Neikuppai, Veppanthatti Taluk, Perambalur
District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State, Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Perambalur.
(Crime No.04 of 2026).

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER : To enlarge the petitioners on bail, in the event of their arrest by the Respondent Police, pending investigation of the case in Crime No.04 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M. Vijayaragavan

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 417, 420 and



506(i) of IPC in connection with the case in Crime No.04 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that defacto complainant is the landlord and A1 is the tenant and he has given a promise to the defacto complainant and others that he would arrange interest free loan amount, for which, he has collected an advance amount of Rs.29,75,000/- from them and failed to arrange loan amount as assured and thereby, committed cheating in collusion with other accused and when the same was questioned, they have scolded her in filthy language and threatened with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that the custodial interrogation is not required in this case and hence prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.


4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions, would submit that there is no previous case against the petitioners and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and considering the nature of offences, even according to the prosecution case the occurrence took place on 19.10.2019 and the FIR was registered on 04.03.2026 and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and in that case already bail was granted to the co-accused, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Perambalur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:



[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police for a period of thirty days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.


[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

13-05-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MTL/SSB



Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Perambalur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Perambalur.
(Crime No.04 of 2026).

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12344 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J.

MTL/SSB

CRL OP No. 12344 of 2026

13-05-2026