



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12053 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-05-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12053 of 2026

R. Jeevanantham

... Petitioner

vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District
(Crime No.161 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.161 of 2026.

For Petitioner: Mr.G.R. Deepak

For Respondent: Mr.S. Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor Mr. M. Vijayaraghavan

ORDER

The petitioner / Accused No.2, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 324(5), 351(3) and 303(2) of BNS Act, 2023 in connection with Cr.No.161 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 24.04.2026, the petitioner along with the other accused, trespassed into the property in possession of the de facto complainant, assaulted him, his son and his nephew and committed theft of certain articles from the premises. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and that he has been falsely implicated in this case with a malafide intention to pressurise the family of the petitioner and to overreach the orders of the competent Civil Court that have been passed in favour of the petitioner's family. He would further submit that the petitioner was not present at the alleged place of occurrence. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and would submit that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner; that the injured have been discharged from the Hospital and that there is a case in counter.

5. Mr. M. Vijayaraghavan, learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that, during the pendency of the civil suit, the petitioner along with the other accused, committed the said offence. Hence, strongly opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side, the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the fact that the injured were discharged from the Hospital and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also there is a case in counter, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate, Sulur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent-police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;



[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.


13.05.2026

bga/dh

Note:

1.Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2.All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sulur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12053 of 2026



P. DHANABAL,J.

bga/dh

CRL OP No. 12053 of 2026

13.05.2026