



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12519 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 14-05-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

**CRL OP No. 12519 of 2026**

1. Jayaprakash  
S/o.Velayudham

2. Jayamurugan  
S/o.Velayudham

3. Dhanam  
S/o.Velayudham

4. Velayudham  
S/o. Selvaraj

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State  
Rep By, The Inspector of Police  
Periyathatchur Police Station,  
Periyathatchur, Villupuram District  
Crime No.56 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

This Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in the event of their arrest in Crime No.56 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.G.Senthil Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. A.Gopinath,  
Govt. Advocate (crl. side)



## ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 137(2) and 351(2) of B.N.S. read with Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Harassment of Women Act in connection with the case in Crime No.56 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are relatives, and due to the refusal of the defacto complainant to marry the first petitioner, quarrel arose between the parties. During the said occurrence, the petitioners allegedly attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to her.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that parents of the defacto complainant had damaged the front windshield of the petitioners' car and, in order to escape from the clutches of law, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that injured has been treated as an out patient and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, the nature of the dispute between the relatives, the fact that the injured was treated only as an outpatient, and also taking note of the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam, Villuupuram District**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



**[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

**14-05-2026**

MRP



**Note:**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II,  
Tindivanam,  
Villupuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police  
Periyathatchur Police Station,  
Periyathatchur , Villupuram District
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12519 of 2



**P.DHANABAL, J.**

**MRP**

**CRL OP No. 12519 of 2026**

**14-05-2026**