



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-05-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 12084 of 2026

1. Ruban

S/o. Murugesan, No.5,
Ranganathapuram, M.P.M. Street,
Vyasarpadi, Chennai - 600 039. and 2
Others

2. Nagalakshmi

No.63/70, 2nd Street, Rajasekaran
Nagar, Tondiarpet, Chennai District

D/o. Siva, No.63/70, 2nd Street,
Rajasekaran Nagar, Tondiarpet,
Chennai District.

4. Eswari

No.63/70, 2nd Street, Rajasekaran
Nagar, Tondiarpet, Chennai District
W/o. Siva, No.63/70, 2nd Street,
Rajasekaran Nagar, Tondiarpet,
Chennai District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of
Police

The Inspector of Police, H-6, RK Nagar
Police Station, Chennai District. (Crime
No.151 of 2026)No.5,
Ranganathapuram, M.P.M. Street,
Vyasarpadi, Chennai 600 039

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.151 of 2026 pending investigation on the file the respondent police and pass such other orders deems fit and proper for the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Narayana Prasadh

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath



Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in connection with the case in Crime No.151 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant, who are neighbor for parking issue. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners are innocent; that they have been falsely implicated in this case and that the custodial interrogation is not required in this case and hence prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant over parking issue; that the injured was discharged from hospital and with regard to A1/first petitioner, there are three previous cases and with respect to petitioners 2 and 3, there is no previous case pending against the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, the dispute is with regard to parking issue and the injured was discharged from the hospital; no previous cases are pending against the A7 and A8/petitioners 2 and 3 and though there are three previous cases pending as against the A1/first petitioner, in all cases, already bail was granted to him, all the cases are not similar in nature, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai on condition that the each petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.



[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every **Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks;**

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

14-05-2026

dhk

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order



when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

WEB COPY

To

1.The Inspector of Police
The Inspector of Police, H-6, RK Nagar
Police Station, Chennai District. (Crime
No.151 of 2026)No.5,
Ranganathapuram, M.P.M. Street,
Vyasarpadi, Chennai 600 039

2.The XV Metropolitan Magistrate
George Town, Chennai

3.The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12084 of 2026



P.DHANABAL J.

dhk

**CRL OP No. 12084 of
2026**

14-05-2026