



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 11994 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-05-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 11994 of 2026

1. Yuvaraj
S/o. Murugan
2. Manoj
S/o. Murugan
Both are residing at
No.18/130, Sulaimedu Street,
T.K.Kuppam, Katpadi Taluk,
Vellore District.
3. Deepak
S/o. Mohan
Kaliyyamman Kovil Street,
Virudampet, Vellore District.
4. Ballu @ Jayamanikandan
S/o. Jothi Prakasam,
No.24, Kalinjur Main Road,
Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District.

... Petitioners

vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Virudampet Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.3 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Cr.No.3 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.



WEB COPY



For Petitioners: Mr.S.Thirugnanam

For Respondent: Mr.S. Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) Act, 2023 in connection with Crime No.3 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners illegally transported 6 bags of river sand each containing 25 Kgs on a two-wheeler without any valid permit. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that they have been falsely implicated in this case based on the confession of the co-accused. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are six previous cases pending



against the first petitioner, one previous case pending against the petitioners 2 and 3 and 23 previous cases pending against the fourth petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by both side counsel, nature of offence, quantity involved and the fact that the petitioners have been implicated in this case based on the confession of the co-accused, and considering that the co-accused was arrested and released on bail in a similar case, though there are some previous cases pending against the petitioners which are not similar in nature, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate - III, Vellore**, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, **daily at 10.30**



a.m., for a period of 30 days, as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

13.05.2026

bga/dh

Note:-

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate - III,
Vellore.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Virudampet Police Station,
Vellore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 11994 of 2026



P.DHANABAL, J.

dh/bga

Crl.O.P.No.11994 of 2026

13.05.2026