



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 11863 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 11863 of 2026

Ajithkumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
SRMC Police Station,
Avadi,
Cr.No.100/2026.

..Respondent(s)

To enlarge the petitioner/Accused on Anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest, pending investigation in Crime No.100 of 2026 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M. Muthu Sabareesan

For Respondent(s): Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner /sole accused, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(2) of BNS Act, 2023 on the file of respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant alleged that the petitioner, his family friend, forced him to pledge jewels at Sri Maruthi Bankers, Iyyapanthangal on 30.09.2025 instead of a local shop. After 10 days,



the petitioner secretly redeemed the jewels without the complainant's consent.

Though the petitioner and his mother assured to return the jewels, they failed even after one month. FIR has been registered in Crime No.100 of 2026. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, who is an Instagram influencer and event coordinator, and the defacto complainant's wife Thamarai are family friends working in the media field. On 31.08.2025, at Thamarai's request, the petitioner pledged her jewel for Rs.1,10,000, took Rs.60,000, and later redeemed it fully when she urgently needed it for a function. The jewel was returned to the complainant's house. Due to matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and his wife, Thamarai, being the petitioner's wife's friend, has falsely foisted this case. He would further submit that alleged occurrence took place in the 2025, however, FIR has been registered belatedly. Thus, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the petitioner has no other previous cases against him. Thus, seeks for dismissal of this petition.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, considering the fact that alleged occurrence took place in the year 2025, however FIR has been registered belatedly, and that there is no previous cases pending against this petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10 a.m., until further orders;

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;



[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

13-05-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GD

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. Judicial Magistrate Court No.1,
Poonamallee.

2. The Inspector of Police,
SRMC Police Station,

Avadi.

3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 11863 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J.

GD

CRL OP No. 11863 of 2026

13-05-2026