



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

CRL OP No. 11770 of 2026

1. Ajay
S/o.Ravindran,
No.31, Santhi Nagar,
Vanniarpattu,
Mangadu,
Kanchipuram-600122.
2. Raman
S/o.Balaraman,
No.3/100, Thiru VK Street,
Chinnapanicherry,
Paraniputhur,
Kancheepuram-600 122.
3. Praveen
S/o.Raman,
No.3/100, Thiru VK Street,
Chinnapanicherry,
Paraniputhur,
Kancheepuram-600 122.
4. Kishore Kumar
No.12/138,
Thiru VK Street,
Chinnapanicherry,
Paraniputhur,
Kancheepuram-600 122.
5. Keshav
S/o.Ravichandran,
No.12/273,
Panjatcharam Nagar Annexe,
Chinnpanicheri,
Kancheepuram-600122.

..Petitioner(s)



Vs

The State rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
Mangadu Police Station,
Cr.No.182 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, praying to release the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.182 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police pending investigation.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S. Raj

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of B.N.S.,2023 and Section 4 of the TNPHW Act, in Crime No.6 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that on 03.01.2026 at about 10.00 p.m., when the defacto complainant taking his car from his parking lot and asked the petitioners to wait, they alleged to have attacked him and also abused him in a filthy language and fled from the spot. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant. He would further submit that they are ready and willing to abide any condition that may be imposed by this court and they undertake to cooperate with the investigation. He would submit that on the complaint given by the 5th petitioner herein, the police registered a case in Crime No.6 of 2026 and as a counterblast, the de facto complainant had lodged the present complaint. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case. He further submitted that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused severe injuries to him. He would also submit that as a counterblast for the complaint given by the 5th petitioner herein, the de facto complainant had lodged the present complaint against the petitioners. Accordingly, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners, without prejudice to their defense and contention, are ready and willing to deposit an amount of Rs.2,000/- each to any association. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioners.



6. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the records available.

7. Considering the fact that the injured was treated as outpatient in the hospital and as a counterblast for the complaint given by the 5th petitioner herein, the de facto complainant had lodged the present complaint and also taking note of the fact that the petitioners have come forward to deposit an amount of Rs.2,000/- each to the credit of "Tamil Nadu Advocate Clerk Association, Chennai", this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.2,000/- (Rupees two Thousand only) each as cost to the **Tamil Nadu Advocate Clerk Association, Chennai** and on such payment, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur.**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each, with two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:



(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

06-05-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
RPP/JRS



1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur.
2. The Inspector of Police, Mangadu Police Station.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 11770 of 2



L.VICTORIA GOWRI J.

rpp/jrs

CRL OP No. 11770 of 2026

06-05-2026