



WEB COPY



S.A.No.48 of 2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

S.A.No.48 of 2021

Mariammal

... Appellant

VS.

1.P.Kanmani
2.P.Ravishankar
3.Manimekalai
4.Kannaki
5.Manikamani
6.S.Madhavi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Second Appeal is filed under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to set aside the Judgement and Decree dated 19.08.2020 in A.S.No.56 of 2019, on the file of the II Additional District cum Sessions Court, Salem, confirming the Judgment and Decree dated 01.09.2018, in O.S.No.477 of 2015, dated 13.06.2016 on the file of the Principal Subordinate Court, Salem.

For Appellant : Mr.T.R.Rajaraman

For Respondents : Mr.R.Karthikeyan for R1 and R2
Notice dispensed with R3 to R6



S.A.No.48 of 2021

J U D G M E N T

WEB COPY

When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the 1st respondent submitted that he has filed vakalat for 2nd respondent in SR.No.21702 of 2026. The vakalat filed by the learned counsel is put up in the main bundle.

2. Both the counsel appearing for the appellant as well as the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 submit that the dispute has been compromised between the appellant and respondents 1 and 2 and they filed a compromise memo dated 09.06.2026.

3. It is also stated that the respondents 3 to 6 are not parties to the compromise, the second appeal may be dismissed against the respondents 3 to 6.

4. A perusal of the compromise memo would indicate that the suit property has been described as 'B' schedule in the compromise memo. The respondents 1 and 2 agreed that the appellant is entitled to 'A' scheduled property. On her part, the appellant agreed that the respondents 1 and 2 are entitled to 'B' schedule, which is the suit property.



S.A.No.48 of 2021

WEB COPY

5. A perusal of the compromise memo would indicate both the appellant and respondents 1 and 2 signed in the compromise memo and they were identified by their respective counsel. The learned counsel for the appellant and respondents 1 and 2 have also counter signed in the compromise memo.

6. In view the same, the said compromise memo is recorded and accordingly, the second appeal is disposed of in terms of compromise memo filed by the appellant and respondents 1 and 2. The compromise memo shall form part of the decree passed in this second appeal. As per the terms of compromise memo, the second appeal stands dismissed as against the respondents 3 to 6. No costs.

10.06.2026

Index : Yes / No

Speaking order : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

ub

Note: Issue copy on 15.06.2026.

To

1.The II Additional District cum Sessions Court,
Salem.

2.The Principal Subordinate Court, Salem.

3/4



WEB COPY



S.A.No.48 of 2021

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

ub

S.A.No.48 of 2021

10.06.2026