



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-06-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 19260 of 2026

M.Maharaja,
S/o.M.Manohran,
D.No. 1/112, Grey Nagar,
Periyaveerasangili Village,
Perundurai Taluk, Erode District,
Pin-638 056.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Erode District,
Erode -638 011.
2. The Sub Registrar,
Registration Department,
Thingalur, Erode District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ of mandamus, directing the 1st Respondent herein to consider the Petitioner's representation dated 29.09.2025 sent for lifting the ban in respect of the Petitioner's lands measuring 1.11.0 Hectares(1.45 Acres) in Old R.S.No.173/3, New R.S.No. 173/3B situate in Bolanaickenpalayam Village, Perundurai Taluk, Erode District, within a stipulated period.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.Govi Ganesan

For Respondent(s): Mr.B.Pachaiyappan
Government Counsel for R1

Mr.Dominic S.David
Government Counsel for R2



ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.09.2025 sent for lifting the ban in respect of the Petitioner's lands measuring 1.11.0 Hectares(1.45 Acres) in Old R.S.No.173/3, New R.S.No. 173/3B situate in Bolanaickenpalayam Village, Perundurai Taluk, Erode District, within a stipulated period.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner entered into an agreement of sale with one Uma and as she refused to perform her part of the contract, the petitioner filed a civil suit. The said suit was decreed and sale deed was executed by the Court and when the sale deed was presented for registration, the 2nd respondent refused to receive it by stating that the 1st respondent has banned registration. Therefore, the petitioner made a representation dated 29.09.2025 before the 1st respondent for lifting the ban. Since no steps have been taken, the present writ petition is filed.

3. In reply, the learned Government Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the petitioner's representation dated 29.09.2025 will be disposed of by the 1st respondent within a period of eight weeks.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Counsel for the respondents and also perused the materials



available on record.

WEB COPY

5. In view of the above, this Court directs the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.09.2025 on its own merits and pass appropriate orders on the same in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, after issuing notice to the petitioner.

6. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

09-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

KKN

To

1. The District Collector,
Erode District,
Erode -638 011.
2. The Sub Registrar,
Registration Department,
Thingalur, Erode District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 19260 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

KKN

WP No. 19260 of 2026

09-06-2026