WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Case QR

DATED: 04-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNASWAMY GOVINDARAJAN

WP No. 8841 of 2014
and M.P.Nos.1 & 2 of 2014
CNR: {HCMA011033932014}

L.Prabu

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
   Rep.By Its Secretary To Government,
   Home Department, (Police III),
   Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The Director General of Police,
   Mylapore, Chennai-600 004.

3. The Deputy Inspector General,
   of Police, Salem Range, Salem 636 007

4. The Superintendent of Police,
   District Police Office, Dharmapuri 636 705

5. The Superintendent Of Police,
   District Police Office, Namakkal District.

6. The Superintendent of Police
   District Police Office,
   Krishnagiri District 635 115

7. The Superintendent of Police
   District Police Office, Salem District.

8. The Chairman,
   Salem Range Promotion Board, Armed
   Reserve Police, Salem.

9. N.Nedunkumar

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

10.M.Ramani
11.K.K.Subramani

12.Sivakumar

13.Mr.Venkatesan

14.Mr.Mohamed Ali

15.Mr.Kuppan

16.Mr.Settu

17.Mr.V.Balasubramaniam

18.Mr.S.Sekar

19.Mr.Baladhandapani

20.Mr.S.Gunasingh

21.Mr.Sanjeevi

22.Mr.C.Jayachandra Babu

23.Mr.S.Periyasami

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the respondents 4 to 7 in connection with the combined seniority list of Head Constables/ Special Sub Inspectors/ Ty.SI Armed Reserve, fit for promotion as Sub Inspector of Police Armed Reserve for the panel Year 2013 dated 12.7.2013 and the consequential promotion of the 9th respondent as Sub Inspector of Police by order dated 15.7.2013 in RC.No. A3/ 4623/ 2013, RO.No. 191/2013 of the 3rd respondent based on the same and quash both so far as they relate to range seniority of the head constables and the promotion of

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the 9th respondent and direct the respondents 1 to 8 to prepare to fresh combined seniority list of Head Constables in the entire Salem Armed Reserve Range based on the seniority of head constables in the range as per their date of enlistment and to grant the petitioner such seniority and promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector of Police, Armed Reserve as per the new combined list with retrospective effect from 12.07.2013 with all service, seniority and attendant benefits.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.Mythili Srinivas

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.P.Goutham
Government Advocate
(For R1 to R8)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to call for the records of respondents 4 to 7 in connection with the combined seniority list of Head Constables/ Special Sub Inspectors/ Ty.SI Armed Reserve, fit for promotion as Sub Inspector of Police, Armed Reserve, for the panel year 2013 dated 12.7.2013 and the consequential promotion of the 9th respondent as Sub Inspector of Police by order dated 15.7.2013 in RC.No. A3/ 4623/ 2013, RO.No. 191/2013 of the 3rd respondent based on the same and quash both, insofar as they relate to the range seniority of the Head Constables and the promotion of the 9th respondent and direct the respondents 1 to 8 to prepare to fresh combined seniority list of Head Constables in the entire Salem Armed Reserve Range, based on the seniority of the Head Constables in the range as per their date of enlistment and to grant the petitioner such seniority and promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector of Police, Armed Reserve, as per the new combined list, with retrospective effect from

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

12.07.2013 with all service, seniority and attendant benefits.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the Government Advocate appearing for the respondents placed on record a copy of the order passed in W.P.(MD).Nos.7350 of 2022 & etc., batch before this Court and submitted that the issue is covered by the said judgment.

3. The counsel for the writ petitioner also accepted the same.

4. In view of the said submission, this writ petition is dismissed in consonance with the order passed in W.P.(MD).Nos.7350 of 2022 & etc., batch in the case of ***M.Mahendran Vs. The Government of Tamil Nadu, reported in 2026:MHC:1780***. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

04-11-2026
(1/2)

Index: Yes
Speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

kak

To

1. The Secretary To Government,
Home Department, (Police III),
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The Director General of Police,
Mylapore, Chennai-600 004.

3. The Deputy Inspector General,
of Police, Salem Range, Salem 636 007

4.The Superintendent of Police,
District Police Office, Dharmapuri 636 705

5. The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office, Namakkal District.

6. The Superintendent of Police
District Police Office,
Krishnagiri District 635 115

7. The Superintendent of Police
District Police Office, Salem District.

8. The Chairman,
Salem Range Promotion Board,
Armed Reserve Police, Salem.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

KRISHNASWAMY GOVINDARAJAN, J.

kak

WP No. 8841 of 2014
(1/2)

04-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis