



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 11476 of 2026

Ramya @ S.Manimegalai
D/o. Subramani
92/37, 8th Street, Annai Sivagami Nagar,
Kattivakkam, Tiruvallur, Ennore Thermal Station,
Tamil Nadu – 600057.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep. by, The Inspector of Police,
Anti-Vice Squad I,
Thiru Vi Ka Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai - 600032.

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of her arrest in Crime No.1 of 2026, on the file of the Anti-Vice Squad-I Police Station, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.M.Ezhil

For Respondent(s): MR.S.YOGARAJA SEKAR,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 3(2)(a), 4(1) and 5(1)(a) of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.1 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with other accused are running a brother house and they forced the victim lady to involve in prosecution. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail petition. He further submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that she is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and that she is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that this petitioner forced the victim lady to involve in prostitution. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the seriousness of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the suffering sustained by the victim girl, this Court is of the firm view that the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

01-06-2026

DRL



To

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
Anti-Vice Squiad I,
Thiru Vi Ka Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai – 600032.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 11476 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 11476 of 2026

01-06-2026