



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 10938 of 2026

1. K.Kumaravelan
2. Sridhar

..Petitioners

Vs

State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police
Valangaiman Police Station,
Thiruvarur District.
(Cr.No.78 of 2026).

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.78 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners:

Mr.S. Doraisamy

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 121(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 78 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.03.2026, a complaint was registered against the petitioners alleging that they had used abusive language, obstructed police officials and assaulted them and committed the aforesaid offences. Hence, the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted that the 1st petitioner is working as Sales Operation Head in Jaya TV and the 2nd petitioner is an Ex-Serviceman presently engaged in agriculture and they hail from a respectable family. He further submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for their release and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court; therefore, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioners have obstructed the police officials in discharge of their duty and used abusive language and assaulted them. It is further submitted that the allegations are serious in nature. He would further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Valangaiman**, on condition that the petitioners execute a bond each for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



- [b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police every day at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;
- [c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- [d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- [e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;
- [f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

29-04-2026

NSL

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Valangaiman Police Station,
Thiruvavarur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

3. The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Valangaiman.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10938 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

NSL

CRL OP No. 10938 of 2026

29-04-2026