



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 11017 of 2026

Anbuselvam, S/o.Anbazhagan

..Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Neyveli Township Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
Crime No.76 of 2026

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in connection with the case in Crime No.76 of 2026 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:


Mr.D.Lakshmipathy

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Govt Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petition seeking bail in respect of Crime No.76 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 11(1) and 12 of POCSO Act, is on board for consideration.



2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, who has been in incarceration since 14.03.2026 and false implication in the case, seeks indulgence of this Court. He also submits that it is not a case of physical torture and contends that the petitioner was in a love affair with the victim and had only stalked her. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of bail, is that the petitioner had harassed the minor victim girl and on the date of occurrence, he compelled her to board in the two wheeler for marriage.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Principal Sessions Court for exclusive trial of cases under POCSO Act, Cuddalore**, and on further conditions that :

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb



WEB COPY



Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond during trial;


[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness during trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

29.04.2026

mp



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA J.

mp

To:

WEB COPY

1. The Principal Sessions Judge for exclusive trial of cases under POCSO Act, 2012, Cuddalore.
2. The Inspector of Police, Neyveli Township Police Station, Cuddalore District.
3. The Superintendent
Central Prison, Cuddalore.
4. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 11017 of 2026

29.04.2026