



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-06-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 18877 of 2026

A.P.Christal
W/o.Thangamani,
Residing at No.66/47,
Manali New Town,
Chennai- 600 103.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Chennai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Redhills Division,
Chennai District.
3. The Tahsildar
Thiruvottiyur Taluk,
Chennai District- 019.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st Respondent to Consider and dispose of the Petitioner representation dated 01.08.2025 and seeking issuance of Patta for the property situated in Survey No.317/3, Ponniyamman Nagar, Idayanchavadi Villag, Ponneri Taluk, Thiruvottiyur, Thiruallur District, measuring an extent of 1449 Sq.ft, in Plot No.29. within a time frame as fixed by this Honble court.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.K.Thenrajan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.M.Guruprasad, Govt's counsel



ORDER

WEB COPY


This writ petition has been filed to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 01.08.2025.

2. Mr.M.Guruprasad, learned Government's counsel, takes notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. By consent of the parties, the main petition is taken up for disposal in the stage of admission itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner filed a representation before the respondent, requesting to conduct the survey at the subject property. However, the said representation was not at all considered by the respondent till date. Hence, this petition.

5. In reply, the learned Government's counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that the subject property in this petition is only the property at Survey No.317/3. However, the petitioner's representation was filed for conducting survey at the properties situated at Survey Nos.317/3, 317/3c, 323, 322/1. However, no relevant documents, to substantiate the title of the petitioner over the said properties, was produced before the respondent. Hence,




she would submit that if a fresh representation is made along with all the relevant documents, the same will be duly considered by the respondent.

Therefore, she requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents and also perused the entire materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, upon perusal of the petitioner's representation, it appears that the petitioner's representation was made for larger extent of land, however, the present petition is filed only for the smaller extent. Further, it was submitted by the respondents that the relevant documents were not filed along with the said representation. In such case, no direction can be issued to the official respondents to consider the same.

8. In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to file a proper fresh representation before the respondent, along with all the relevant documents to established his title over the property. Upon receipt of the same, the respondent shall consider it and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law.



9. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost

WEB COPY

08-06-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nsa

To

1. The district Collector
Chennai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Redhills Division,
Chennai District.
3. The Tahsildar
Thiruvottiyur Taluk,
Chennai District- 019.



WEB COPY

WP No. 18877 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

nsa

WP No. 18877 of 2026

08-06-2026