



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10785 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

CRL OP No. 10785 of 2026

K.Parthasarathy
S/o.Karunanithi,
No.38, Ismail Ground,
Lioyds Road, Triplicane,
Chennai - 600005.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State by, Inspector of Police,
E-2, Royapettah Police Station,
Crime No.49/2026

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, 2023 to enlarge the Petitioner A-3, on Anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest at the hands of the Respondent Police in Crime No.49 of 2026, F.I.R., dated 12.03.2026, pending investigation, on the file of Respondent Police, E-2, Royapettah Police Station, Chennai District and pass such further or other Orders as this Honble Court may deem fit proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.S.Vijayaraghavan

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehend arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 318(4), 316(2) of BNS Act, and 76(1) of Chit Fund Act, in Crime No.49 of**



2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner (A3), along with two other accused, cheated the de facto complainant to the tune of Rs. 21,00,000/- under the guise of conducting a Deepavali chit fund. It is further alleged that, when the de facto complainant demanded the return of the money, they threatened him with dire consequences. Consequently, the present complaint was lodged.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has neither received any money nor committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court, therefore he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioner herein is the husband of A1 and that he, along with his wife, had collected money from the defacto complainant under the guise of Deepavali chit. When the defacto complainant demanded the return of the money, they abused him in filthy language and and threatened him with dire



consequences. He further submitted that no recovery has been made from the accused persons and the custodial interrogation is needed.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the submissions, facts, and circumstances of the case, and also the fact that a sum of nearly Rs.21 lakhs was involved, which requires detailed investigation, and since no recovery has been made, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

06-05-2026

GBI

To

State by, Inspector of Police,
E-2, Royapettah Police Station,
Crime No.49/2026



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10785 of 2



L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 10785 of 2026

06-05-2026