



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10846 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 10846 of 2026

V.Langesh @ Langeshwaran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by its,
The Inspector of Police,
Mangadu Police Station,
Crime No.0130 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of the arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.0130 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police and pass such further or other orders as this Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Arun Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Dhileepan
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, A2 who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, @ Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) and 4



of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women (TNPHW) Act, in Crime No. 130 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the incident arose from a verbal altercation following a trivial issue where the defacto complainant's son-in-law was reversing a vehicle and that a trivial issue has been given a criminal colour. He further states that the petitioner is a young student who recently turned 18 and has no criminal antecedents. However, it is submitted that A1 has already been granted bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur, in Crl.M.P.No. 460 of 2026 on 23.03.2026. On the grounds of parity and the petitioner's status as a student, he seeks the indulgence of this Court.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police opposes the grant of anticipatory bail, alleging that the petitioner, along with other accused persons, used abusive language against the defracto complainant and her daughter and threw stones, one of which caused an injury to the back of the defacto complainant's head.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.



5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, the petitioner's age, his status as a student, the lack of prior criminal history, and the fact that the co-accused (A1) has already been granted bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain stringent conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions that:

[a] If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for suretyship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



[c] The petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[d] The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police officer as and when required;

[f] The petitioner shall give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he will comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala***



[(2005) AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

28-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Jeni

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police, Mangadu Police Station, Chennai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police, Anna Nagar, Anna Salai, Vinayaga Nagar, Madurai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10846 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

Jeni

CRL OP No. 10846 of 2026

28-04-2026