



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 10680 of 2026

1. Rajendiran
2. Gnanasekaran

..Petitioners

Vs

State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Melapatti Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.40 of 2026)

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory Bail in the event of their arrest pending investigation in Crime No. 40 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners:

Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest in connection with Crime No.40 of 2026, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 (294(b), 323, 326 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860), on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, pleading the innocence of the petitioners and alleging false implication in the case, seek the indulgence of this Court. He submits that the petitioners (A1 and A2) are relatives and that during a wordy quarrel, they allegedly abused and attacked the defacto complainant. He further submits that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. The learned counsel further contends that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution, as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail, is that when the defacto complainant and his friends were traveling on a two-wheeler, the first petitioner coming on another two-wheeler, dashed against them. Consequently, the defacto complainant and his friends fell down. When the defacto complainant questioned the petitioners about the incident, the petitioners allegedly abused and attacked him, causing injuries. The injured was subsequently discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record and also the nature of offences involved, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with



certain conditions and accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance.

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham**, on condition that the petitioners execute a bond each for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

28-04-2026

NSL

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Melapatti Police Station,
Vellore District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

3. The Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10680 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

NSL

CRL OP No. 10680 of 2026

28-04-2026