



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-05-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP Nos. 10653 & 11643 of 2026

R.Shanmugasundaram

..Petitioner(s) in
Crl.O.P.No.10653 of 2026

N.Sivaramakrishnan

..Petitioner(s) in
Crl.O.P.No.11643 of 2026

Vs

State by
The Inspector of Police,
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
Cr.No.816 of 2021.

..Respondent(s) in
both cases

Common Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in respect of Cr.No.816 of 2021 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Peelamedu Police Station, Coimbatore City, pending investigation.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.B.Kumarasamy

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

COMMON ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 467, 468, 120(B), 506(ii)



& 294(b) of IPC, 1860 in connection with the case in Crime No.816 of 2021,
seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are practicing advocate and document writer respectively. The petitioners conspired together and attested the notary and document of an unregistered Will. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and they are ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that no previous cases have been registered against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned



Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the nature of offence and that no previous case is pending against the petitioners and at this point of time investigation might have been completed and that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore** on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass



Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

13-05-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rap



To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
Cr.No.816 of 2021.

2. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.Nos. 10653 & 11643 of 2



P.DHANABAL, J.

rap

Crl.OP.Nos. 10653 & 11643 of 2026

13-05-2026