WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 2528 of 2026
AND
CMP NO. 10684 OF 2026,CMP NO. 10680 OF 2026

S.Chandira
w/o. R.Selvam (late),
No.21 Manakulavinayagar Street,
Thiruvannamalai Town and Dist.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
   Collectorate,
   Vengikkal,
   Tiruvannamalai 606 604.

2. The Tamil Nadu Waqf Board
   Rep. by the Chief Executive Officer,
   No.1 Jaffer Syrang Street,
   Vallal Seethakathy Nagar,
   Chennai 600 003.

3. Nawab Chanda Miyan Masjid and Mir Momin
   Madad Tomb Wakf
   Rep. by its Muthavalli,
   No.77 Mathalakula Street,
   Tiruvannamalai Dist.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Civil Revision Petition filed under Art.227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the docket order dated 06-04-2026 passed in CMP.No.9 of 2026 in AS.No.3 of 2026 on the file of the Wafq Tribunal, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.R.Balaji
for M/S. Eswar, Kumar and Rao

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.Ramesh
Government Advocate For R1

ORDER

The appellant is the revision petitioner herein. Challenging the issuance of show cause notice passed in Na.Ka.M2/44168/2009, dated 19.11.2024 by the 1st respondent District Collector, by invoking Sec.52(1) of the Waqf Act, he had preferred first appeal and also filed a petition in C.M.P.No. 9 of 2026 praying to stay the operation of the said impugned notice. Notice served on the Government Officials. The learned counsel for 2nd respondent Waqf appeared and not filed any objections. However, the trial court had adjourned the case to 29.04.2026 without considering the emergency on the side of appellant, since based on the notice, they have attempted to take possession. Aggrieved over that, the revision petitioner preferred this Civil Revision Petition.

2. The learned counsel for revision petitioner would submit that since the revision petitioner being a widow lady, who was in possession of property and without considering the nature of lease as well as apprehension, the trial court erroneously adjourned the matter without passing any order.

3. Considering the fact that the revision petitioner being a widow lady and aged about 56 years was in possession of property and also considering the nature of lease, this Court is inclined to direct the trial judge to consider the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

petition filed in C.M.P.No. 9 of 2026 and to dispose the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Till then, not to cause any interference in the enjoyment of suit property. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected civil miscellaneous petitions are closed.

24-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RPP

To

1. The Waqf Tribunal, Chennai.

2. The District Collector
Collectorate,
Vengikkal,
Tiruvannamalai 606 604.

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

RPP

CRP No. 2528 of 2026
AND
CMP NO. 10684 OF 2026,CMP NO. 10680 OF 2026

24-04-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis