

WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10637 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 10637 of 2026

Pradap Jagan .R
S/o.Rayappan,
No. 49, Block 7, CPWD Quarters,
3rd Avenue, Indira Nagar,
Adyar, Chennai -20.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep. by the Inspector of Police
W.30 AWPS Neelankarai,
Adyar, Chennai .Cr.No. 1/26.

2. Roseline Seles Mary
W/o.Pradap jagan,
No. 49, Block 7, CPWD Quarters,
3rd Avenue ,Indira Nagar,
Chennai -20.

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER: This criminal original petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS Act to call for the record and quash the FIR in Cr.No. 1/2026 pending on the file of Inspector of Police, AWPS Neelankarai Police Station, and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.N.Iyyakannu

For Respondent(s):

Mr. LEONARD ARUL JOSEPH SELVAM
ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
for R1



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused in crime No.1 of 2026 for offences under Sections 85, 316(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, filed this quash petition.

2.The case against the petitioner is that the second respondent/defacto complainant, who is the wife of the petitioner, married to the petitioner on 12.09.2019 and they have two children. The petitioner is employed in Geological Survey of India. The defacto complainant lodged a complaint that she was attacked with a belt, slapped and pushed and threatened by the petitioner. It was also alleged that the petitioner was excessively obsessed with pornography. Further, the petitioner was also treading towards wrongful path, which was questioned by the defacto complainant and petitioner not taking care of the defacto complainant and the children, further it was suspected that the petitioner was having extra marital affair, hence, complaint lodged.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had applied for Anticipatory bail before the Principal Sessions Court, after registration of the case and during the pendency of the proceedings, the matter was referred to Mediation. In the Mediation, the issue got resolved between the petitioner and the defacto complaint. Now, both of them are living happily as husband and wife.




WEB COPY


4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor confirms that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are living happily as husband and wife. Now, the matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant resolved by way of settlement agreement before the Principal Sessions Court, Chennai in Crl.M.P.Nos.1477, 1535 & 1882 of 2026.

5. The petitioner and the second respondent/defacto complainant appeared before this Court and were identified by their respective counsel. The defacto complainant confirmed the compromise arrived.

6. Considering the submissions and on perusal of the materials, it is seen that the matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant has been resolved through Mediation and the parties have entered into a settlement agreement dated 13.03.2026 before the Principal Sessions Judge, Chennai in Crl.M.P.Nos.1477, 1534 & 1882 of 2026 and the same is scanned and extracted hereunder:



WEB C



IN THE COURT OF HON'BLE PRINCIPAL SESSION JUDGE: A CHENNAI
CRL.M.P. Nos 1477, 1534 & 1882 of 2026

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This settlement agreement entered into on 13.03.2026 between

1. Prathap Jagan, M/38 years
S/o. Rayappan,
No.49, Block7,CPWD Quarters,
3rd Avenue, Indra Nagar,
Chennai-600020.
2. State rep.by: The inspector of police
Neelankarai AWPS, Aayal, Chennai
In Crime No.1/2026

...Respondents

vs


Roseline Seles Mary,Female
W/o Prathap Jagan
No.B-302 Gangadham Cha Ltd., Building
No.-1, gate No.4, Hissa No.3, Palidevad Sukapur,
Panvel Raigarh, Maharashtra-410206.

...Intervenor

Whereas

1. Disputes and differences had arisen between the Parties hereto and above cases was filed on 10.02.2026 before hon'ble principal session judge: a chennai.
2. The matter was referred to mediation vide an order dated 03.03.2026 passed by hon'ble principal session judge: a Chennai.
3. The parties agreed that Miss. Dhanalaxmi would act as their Mediator.
4. Several meeting were held during the process of mediation from 09.03.2026 to 13.03.2026 and the parties have with the assistance of the Mediator voluntarily arrived at an amicable solution resolving the above mentioned disputes and differences.

TRUE COPY
ms



WEB COPY



5. The parties hereto confirm and declare that they have voluntarily and of their own free will arrived at this Settlement Agreement in the presence of the Mediator.
6. The following settlement has been arrived at between the Parties hereto.
- A. Based on the relationship the parties enter into the amicable settlement for the welfare of children
 - B. They are agreed to withdraw the complaint and They are compromised to Quash the FIR.
 - C. The parties are settled their dispute and they are willing to continue the matrimony life.
7. By Signing this Agreement the Parties hereto state that they have no further claims or demands against each other with respect to CRL.M.PNos.1477,1534 &1882 of 2026 and all disputes and differences in this regard have been amicably settled by the parties hereto through the process of Mediation.

DATE: 13/03/2026

PARTIES' SIGNATURE:

Pooja Jey
PETITIONER

H. Rose
RESPONDENT


COUNSELS' SIGNATURE:

S. D. S. 14/12
PETITIONER

14/12/23
RESPONDENT



TRUE COPY
ns



WEB COPY

IN THE COURT OF HON'BLE
PRINCIPAL SESSION JUDGE: A
CHENNAI
CRL.M.P. Nos 1477, 1534 & 1882
of 2026

1. Prathap Jagan, M/30 years
S/o. Rayappan,
2. State rep.by: The inspector of
police
Neelankarai AWPS, Aayar,
chennai
In Crime No.1/2026


...Respondents

vs


Roseline Seles Mary, Female
W/o Prathap Jegan


...Intervenor

SETTLEMENT AGGREGMENT



Counsel of Petitioner/
Respondents/ Intervenor
Cell. no: 86818 49495





7. In view of the above, the case in crime No.1 of 2026 pending on the file of the first respondent police is quashed and the criminal original petition is allowed in terms of the above settlement agreement dated 13.03.2026 entered between the parties.

28-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
sms

To

1. The Principal Sessions Court,
Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police
W.30 AWPS Neelankarai,
Adyar, Chennai .Cr.No. 1/26.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 10637 of 2



M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

sms

CRL OP No. 10637 of 2026

28-04-2026