



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.04.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026

Santhosh

... Petitioner/A1
in Crl.O.P.No.10402 of 2026

Pandiyan @ Pandian

... Petitioner/A2
in Crl.O.P.No.10562 of 2026

Vs.

The State Rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
Oomberabad Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
Crime No.77 of 2026

... Respondent
in both Crl.O.Ps'

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in
the event of their arrest in Crime No.77 of 2026 pending investigation on the
file of the respondent police.

Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Sanjay

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026


COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.10402 of 2026, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.03.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023, altered to Sections 109(1), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 [corresponding Sections 294(b), 506 and 307 of IPC] in Crime No.77 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.10562 of 2026, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.04.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023, altered to Sections 109(1), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 [corresponding Sections 294(b), 506(ii) and 307 of IPC] in Crime No.77 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

3. The allegations against the petitioners is that on 09.03.2026 at about 6.00 p.m., the petitioners/A1 and A2, who are father and son, came near the house of the de facto complainant, abused her in filthy language, and attempted to assault her with a knife. It is further alleged that A1 had, on an earlier occasion, brutally attacked the de facto complainant with a knife. Hence, the case.



Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that due to a money dispute, A1, the father of A2, had, at an earlier point of time, assaulted the de facto complainant and caused as many as 23 stab injuries, for which she was admitted to the hospital and survived after treatment. He further submitted that after her discharge from the hospital, the present occurrence took place.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) and the materials available on record, it is seen that the de facto complainant had sustained grievous injuries in the earlier occurrence. In such circumstances, this Court is of the firm view that if the petitioners are enlarged on bail, it may embolden them to indulge in similar acts. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

7. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions stand dismissed.

27.04.2026

cda

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Ambur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Oomberabad Police Station,
Tirupattur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.Nos.10402 & 10562 of 2026