



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 15681 of 2023

WEB COPY

K.Vijayarangan,
S/o. Kariberan, Vijilapuram Village,
Vallipattu Post, Vaniyambadi Taluk,
Tirupattur District – 635 572.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The District Collector,
Tirupattur District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Vaniyambadi, Tirupattur District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Vaniyambadi Taluk, Tirupattur District.

4.Teekaram,
S/o Munnaram, Vijilapuram Village,
Vallipattu Post, Vaniyambadi Taluk,
Tirupattur District.

5.Devaram,
S/o. Munnaram Vijilapuram Village,
Vallipattu Post, Vaniyambadi Taluk,
Tirupattur District.

6.Dharanidaran,
S/o. Gangaram, Vijilapuram Village,
Vallipattu Post, Vaniyambadi Taluk,
Tirupattur District.

Respondent(s)



PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent 1 to 3 to pass appropriate orders on the representation dated 02.05.2023 made by the petitioner herein within stipulated time period as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner: No Appearance

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Gowthamaraj
Government Advocate
For R1 to R3

No Appearance for R4 to R6

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking to direct the respondent 1 to 3, to pass appropriate orders on the representation dated 02.05.2023 made by the petitioner.

2.When the matter is taken up for hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

3.Learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that the representation has been made by the petitioner seeking for restoration of the pathway. However, subsequent to the filing of this writ petition, a Civil Suit in O.S.No.31 of 2024 has been filed against the private



respondent before the Sub Court, Vaniyambadi, Thirupathur District, in respect of the same issue. Since the suit has been filed against the private respondents, the official respondents cannot consider the petitioner's representation at this stage.

4.As rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 3, the right course available for the petitioner is to agitate the present issue before the Civil Court, where the suit is pending. The aforesaid submissions made by the learned Government Advocate is recorded. However, since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

17-03-2026

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1.The District Collector
Tirupattur District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Vaniyambadi, Tirupattur District.

3.The Tahsildar
Vaniyambadi Taluk, Tirupattur District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 15681 of 2023



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.
rst

WP No. 15681 of 2023

17-03-2026