



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-04-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

WP CrI. No. 932 of 2026

K.Ramesh Babu

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Deputy Commissioner of Police
St.Thomas Mount District,
Chennai

2.The Inspector of Police
S-7 Madipakkam Police Station,
Chennai - 600 117

3.Saimon
Signature Structures India,
Adyar Chennai
(Also at Site Address: Sada Siva Nagar,
Madipakkam Chennai)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This writ petition is preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS or any other appropriate Writ Order or Direction directing the 2nd Respondent to consider the Petitioner's complaint dated 22.07.2025 (acknowledged in C.NO.6068/COP/Visitors 2025) and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s): Ms.I.Benisha

For Respondent(s): Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Addl. Public Prosecutor
For R1 and R2

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's complaint dated 22.07.2025.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has sent complaints to the respondents police on 19.07.2025, 22.07.2025 and 24.07.2025 seeking to initiate action against the third respondent for the return of money from him. It is contended that the petitioner had executed various works as labour contractor for the third respondent but there is outstanding amount payable by the third respondent. When the third respondent was asked to settle the outstanding amount, he threatened the petitioner and therefore, a complaint was given to the police. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was called for enquiry and he has produced relevant materials to the police, but no action has been taken so far. It is further contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that nearly around Rs.95 lakhs is payable by the third respondent and the petitioner is unable to do his business and is also facing life threat from the third respondent.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor who takes notice for



respondents 1 and 2 submitted that both the petitioner and the third respondent were called for an enquiry and they appeared on 30.07.2025. Both have requested for time to produce documents in support of their contentions.


Thereafter, the present writ petition has been filed.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submitted that the petitioner is ready to appear before the second respondent on 07.05.2026 and produce all available documents to substantiate his claim.

5.This court has considered the submissions made by both sides. The submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is recorded. In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner shall appear before the second respondent police on 07.05.2026 with all supporting documents, the second respondent is directed to ensure the presence of the third respondent also on that day and the second respondent shall conduct an enquiry and to take appropriate steps in the manner known to law after affording an opportunity to both sides and in any event, the enquiry shall be completed within a period of two months thereafter, i.e., from 07.05.2026. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

22-04-2026

Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No
vvk



To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Deputy Commissioner of Police
St.Thomas Mount District Chennai
- 2.The Inspector of Police
S-7 Madipakkam Police Station,
Chennai - 600 117
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 932 of 2026



M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.

vvk

WP CrI. No. 932 of 2026

22-04-2026